



08A-0919-62

4 September 1968

Dear	-
	MATTER !

Attached is final Audit Report of Boston's costs under your P.O. No. 100-9.

The Contracting Officer concurs with the Auditor's Report and recommends settlement based upon the approved costs of \$5,262,082.12 which includes an amount for R&D per the cost sharing agreement between Boston and the Air Force. Such recommendation is based upon the Contractor's willingness to accept allocable costs incurred over and above the estimated cost of the purchase order and the absence of any instructions to the subcontractor to the contrary.

Yery truly yours,

CD/OSA-DD/R:JHMcDonald:RU

Cy 1 - LMSC w/att. 2 - CD/08A RT-100 Prop w/orig

3 - AF Auditor 4 - RB/OSA

50X1

50X1

## DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES AIR FORCE WASHINGTON 25, D.C.



REPLY TO ATTN OF:

SUBJECT:

TO:

OSA-0916-62

#1025

2/1M

REPLY TO: Auditor General Comptroller, USAF Eastern District Liaison Officer P.O. Box 8155 S.W. Station Washington, D. C.

17 August 1962

SUBJECT: Report on Final Audit of CPFF Subcontract

ITEK Corporation

Subcontractor under LMSC P.O. No. 100-9

Under Prime Contract No. RT-100

TO : Contracting Officer

50X1

<ol> <li>This is a final audit report for the subject subcontract</li> </ol>
dated 30 December 1958. Performance commenced 1 May 1958 and was
completed 11 April 1960. The subcontract as amended provided for
the design, development, fabrication and delivery of a specified
quantity of phographic reconnaissance camera systems for use in an
orbiting satellite, and related equipment, with total estimated
costs of   nd fixed fee of

50X1

50X1

50X1

50X1

2. ITEK's final invoice (No. 82, dated 26 July 1962) for total costs under the contract (exclusive of fixed fee) amounted to

which represents a claimed overrun

However, under the terms of the subcontract the reimbursement of incurred costs is limited to As a matter of further interest, the aforesaid costs claimed by the Contractor of

nclude approximately for independent research and development costs not specifically provided for in the subcontract.

50X1

50X1

3. A summary of the results of audit, as detailed in Exhibit

A, follows:

Total incurred costs claimed by Subcontractor Fixed fee		50X <sup>2</sup>
Less amount suspended Total approved		
	spended as it represents costs	50X <sup>2</sup>
billed in excess of the total amount  Of this amount could be confirmed contractor and contracting officircumstances, the subcontract will for the overrun and for the acceptance development costs to the same extent agreement between ITEK Corporation and	onsidered acceptable if the icer determine that, under the be amended to provide coverage ce of independent research and set forth in the cost sharing	50X <sup>-</sup>
which applies to fiscal years 1959, I mainder or subcontractor is considered by the acconsists of the portion of Independer subcontractor and other overhead adjustice on tractor is use of rates which examples are subcontractor.	1960, 1961 and 1962. The re- claimed by the uditor to be unallowable. It nt R & D to be shared by the ustments resulting from the	50X <sup>-</sup>
4. Allowable costs were determined, Section XV, ASPR and other terms of		
7. All known credits and refundationed under the subcontract. There credits with respect to property eith under the subcontract.	e are no known scrap or other	
6. Accountability for residual contract has been transferred to a for concurrence of the prime contractor. mitted reports and documents required ever, the final release will require pond with the agreed price.	ollow-on subcontract with the The subcontractor has sub- l under the subcontract. How-	
7. The results of the audit were contractor's assistant controller, Maserved comment thereon pending further	r. Arthur J. Lynch, who re-	
		50X <sup>2</sup>
	Audit Liaison Officer Eastern District Auditor General	